



November 1, 1974

Mrs. Lee Botts
Executive Secretary
Chicago, Illinois

EXECUTIVE COUNCIL

Harold B. Olin
President
Beverly Shores, Indiana

John K. Langum, Ph.D.
Vice-President
Chicago, Illinois

Mike Love
Vice-President, Treasurer
Winnetka, Illinois

Mrs. Donna Asselin
St. Joseph, Michigan

Mrs. Helen Bieker
Munster, Indiana

Robert Bradburn
St. Joseph, Michigan

Mrs. Gertrude Dixon
Stevens Point, Wisconsin

Lewis Drain
Grand Rapids, Michigan

Jonathan Ela
Madison, Wisconsin

Ted Falls
Wheeler, Indiana

Mrs. Karen Griggs
Ashley, Indiana

Jim Jontz
Indianapolis, Indiana

Robert Kueny
Kenosha, Wisconsin

Gerald Lindquist
Spring Lake, Michigan

John Macnak
Hammond, Indiana

Mrs. John F. McClure II
Winnetka, Illinois

Mrs. Judith Miessner
Milwaukee, Wisconsin

Stephen Merrick
Wilmette, Illinois

Thomas Murphy, Ph.D.
Chicago, Illinois

Gordon Pirie, Ph.D.
Milwaukee, Wisconsin

Walter Pomeroy
Ashland, Wisconsin

Norman Rabbers
Darlen, Illinois

Mark Reshkin, Ph.D.
Gary, Indiana

Mrs. Louise Rome
River Forest, Illinois

Vance Van Laanen
Green Bay, Wisconsin

Henry Westerville
Kalamazoo, Michigan

Mrs. Mary Woodland, Ph.D.
Homewood, Illinois

Mrs. Louise Young
Winnetka, Illinois

Colonel James Miller
District Engineer
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
219 South Dearborn
Chicago, Illinois 60604

RE: Supplemental questions
pursuant to combined
public hearing on U.S.
Steel Gary Works and
South Works proposed
dredge and fill projects.

Dear Colonel Miller:

This letter transmits supplemental questions regarding the
above referenced projects, as we discussed at the October
23, 1974 public hearing.

Answers to these questions are necessary in order to facili-
tate a complete and accurate review of the project.

1. Will the Corps of Engineers expedite; a) Sediment analysis in the proposed South Works dredging sites? b) Partical size information about both the South and Gary Works sites? c) Make this information available to interested parties and governmental agencies?
2. At the October public hearing, U.S. Steel mentioned that at both dredge sites (South and Gary), there is a net inflow into the slips being dredged thereby affording a degree of suspended solids control. Will the Corps of Engineers determine; a) What is the rate of inflow? b) What in-plant processes will this water be used for? c) Where will the water be discharged? d) What level of treatment is provided prior to discharge? e) Will this activity result in a net addition of suspended solids into Lake Michigan or other bodies of water?
3. Will the Corps of Engineers take steps to clarify conflicting project descriptions by requesting the applicant to develop a detailed project summary (for both projects) describing methods to be used for dredging, steps the applicant will take to minimize harming beaches, public water supplies; applicants plans to insure compliance with water quality standards and

spoil disposal site information such as volume of containment? This clarification is necessary because of the conflicting information contained in the Corps' public notice of September 20, 1974, information in the applicants file, and testimony submitted by the applicant at the October public hearing.

We look forward to your response to these questions and request that we be advised as to their disposition (e.g. whether or not they will be raised with the application) as soon as that determination is made.

I thank you for the opportunity to submit these comments and request that this letter and attachment be included in the record of the October 23, 1974 public hearing on the combined U.S. Steel dredging projects.

Very truly yours,

Arnold E. Leder
Program Director

AEL:bb

cc - D. Walgreen